

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,339	Applicant(s) BRU MARTINEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Aaron J. Kosar	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

 (1) Aaron J. Kosar.

 (2) Dawn Russell.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2007
Time: 9:08 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All.


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative was presented the Proposed Examiner's Amendment including further amendments to Claims 1 and 2. Consensus was reached and Applicant's representative accepted the Proposed Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)